



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

JP  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,061	07/29/2003	Arthur Dimitrelis	CML01102AC	5893
7590	07/05/2005		EXAMINER	
DANIEL K. NICHOLS Motorola, Inc. - Law Department 1303 E. Algonquin Road Schaumburg, IL 60196			BAYARD, DJENANE M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2141	

DATE MAILED: 07/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/629,061	DIMITRELIS ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Djenane M. Bayard	2141	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 July 2003.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.   |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/29/03</u> . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
|  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.                                   |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-14, 16-18, 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by RFC 2462, Stateless Address Autoconfiguration by Thomson et al.

a. As per claims 1, 11 and 17, Thomson et al teaches a method for automatic and dynamic configuration of an address allocation mechanism in a computer network, the computer network comprising one or more sub-networks and at least one router, each sub-network comprising one or more devices, the address allocation mechanism allocating network addresses to the devices, the method comprising: obtaining routing protocol messages, the routing protocol messages being exchanged in the computer network for routing purposes(See page 8, When routers are present they will send router advertisement that specify what sort of autoconfiguraion a host should do);; obtaining one or more network prefix addresses that correspond to the sub-networks, the network prefix addresses being obtained using the routing protocol messages (See page 7, Host must determine the prefixes that identify the subnets to which they attach. Routers generate

periodic router advertisement that include options listing the set of active prefixes on a link); and determining a range of valid network addresses for the devices using the network prefix addresses (See page 18, If an address is formed successfully, the host adds it to the list of addresses assigned to the interface, initializing its preferred and valid lifetime values from the prefix information option).

- b. As per claims 2 and 12, Thomson et al teaches the claimed invention as described above. Furthermore, Thomson et al teaches wherein the method further comprises automatically allocating the valid network addresses to the devices requesting allocation of the valid network addresses (See page 8, Once a node ascertains that its tentative link-local address is unique, it assigns it to the interface).
- c. As per claims 3, 13 and 18, Thomson et al teaches the claimed invention as described above. Furthermore, Thomson et al teaches wherein the method further comprises continuously performing monitoring of the routing protocol messages, the monitoring being performed to check if there is a change in addressing configuration of the computer network (See page 9, Because router generate router advertisement periodically, host will continually receive new advertisement. Host process the information contained in each advertisement, adding to and refreshing information received in previous advertisements).
- d. As per claims 4 and 14, Thomson et al teaches the claimed invention as described above. Furthermore, Thomson et al teaches wherein the method further comprises updating the address

allocation mechanism with the changed addressing configuration (See page 9, Because router generate router advertisement periodically, host will continually receive new advertisement.

Host process the information contained in each advertisement, adding to and refreshing information received in previous advertisements).

e. As per claim 5, Thomson et al teaches the claimed invention as described above.

Furthermore, Thomson et al teaches wherein obtaining the routing protocol messages comprises listening to the routing protocol messages at one or more sub-networks to which the address allocation mechanism is connected (See page 8).

f. As per claim 6, Thomson et al teaches the claimed invention as described above.

Furthermore, Thomson et al teaches wherein obtaining one or more network prefix addresses comprises determining network prefix addresses of one or more sub-networks to which the address allocation mechanism is connected (See page 7, Host must determine the prefixes that identify the subnets to which they attach. Routers generate periodic router advertisement that include options listing the set of active prefixes on a link).

g. As per claim 7, Thomson et al teaches the claimed invention as described above.

Furthermore, Thomson et al teaches wherein determining the range of valid network addresses comprises identifying valid network addresses from a set of available network addresses at the address allocation mechanism, the valid network addresses having the same network prefix address as the obtained network prefix address corresponding to one of the devices (See page 18,

If an address is formed successfully, the host adds it to the list of addresses assigned to the interface, initializing its preferred and valid lifetime values from the prefix information option).

h. As per claims 8 and 16, Thomson et al teaches the claimed invention as described above. Furthermore, Thomson et al teaches wherein determining range of valid network addresses for the devices comprises: a. choosing a random number of a suitable length, the suitable length being determined using length of the network prefix address (See pages 17 and 18, Section Router advertisement processing)); b. concatenating the random number with the network prefix address to form a valid network address (See page 10, section protocol specification); c. checking whether the valid network address is allocated to any other of the devices in the network; and d. repeating the steps a-b, if the valid network address is allocated to any other of the devices in the network (See page 13, section duplicate address detection).

i. As per claim 9, Thomson et al teaches the claimed invention as described above. Furthermore, Thomson et al teaches wherein checking of a valid network address is performed using an Address Resolution Protocol (ARP) (See page 8, Section Protocol Overview).

j. As per claim 10, Thomson et al teaches the claimed invention as described above. Furthermore, Thomson et al teaches wherein the method automatically and dynamically configures the address allocation mechanisms for the at least one router (See page 8, Section Protocol Overview).

Art Unit: 2141

k. As per claim 20, Thomson et al teaches the claimed invention as described above.

Furthermore, Thomson et al teaches wherein the address allocation mechanism is built into routing protocol in the computer network (See page 8, section protocol Overview).

l. As per claim 21, Thomson et al teaches the claimed invention as described above.

Furthermore, Thomson et al teaches wherein the address allocation mechanism is built into each of the devices in the computer network (See page 8, Section Protocol Overview).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 15, 19 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over RFC 2462, Stateless Address Autoconfiguration by Thomson et al in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,009103 to Woundy.

a. As per claim 15, Thomson et al teaches the claimed invention as described above.

Furthermore, Thomson et al teaches wherein determining the range of valid network addresses

comprises identifying valid network addresses from a set of available network addresses at the address allocation mechanism, the valid network addresses having the same network prefix address as the obtained network prefix address corresponding to one of the devices (See page 18, If an address is formed successfully, the host adds it to the list of addresses assigned to the interface, initializing its preferred and valid lifetime values from the prefix information option). However, Thomson et al fails to teach identifying valid addresses from a set of available IPv4 addresses at the address allocation mechanism.

Woundy teaches a method and system for automatic allocation of resources in a network. Furthermore, Woundy teaches identifying valid addresses from a set of available IPv4 addresses at the address allocation mechanism (See col. 3, lines 11-58).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate identifying valid addresses from a set of available IPv4 addresses at the address allocation mechanism as taught by Woundy in the claimed invention of Thomson et al in order to provide a flexible IP network configuration, such as multiple IP subnets on the same physical Ethernet, and allows graceful reconfiguration of the IP network such as splitting a physical Ethernet into multiple LANs (See col. 1, lines 61-67).

b. As per claim 19, Thomson et al teaches the claimed invention as described above. However, Thomson et al fails to teach wherein the address allocation mechanism is a DHCP server.

Woundy teaches wherein the address allocation mechanism is a DHCP server (See col. 3, lines 11-58).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate wherein the address allocation mechanism is a DHCP server as taught by Woundy in the claimed invention of Thomson et al in order to provide a flexible IP network configuration, such as multiple IP subnets on the same physical Ethernet, and allows graceful reconfiguration of the IP network such as splitting a physical Ethernet into multiple LANs (See col. 1, lines 61-67).

c. As per claim 22, Thomson et al teaches the claimed invention as described above. However, Thomson et al fails to teach wherein the address allocation mechanism allocates IPv4 addresses to routers.

Woundy teaches wherein the address allocation mechanism allocates IPv4 addresses to routers (See col. 3, lines 11-58)

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate wherein the address allocation mechanism allocates IPv4 addresses to routers as taught by Woundy in the claimed invention of Thomson et al in order to provide a flexible IP network configuration, such as multiple IP subnets on the same physical Ethernet, and allows graceful reconfiguration of the IP network such as splitting a physical Ethernet into multiple LANs (See col. 1, lines 61-67).

### *Conclusion*

Art Unit: 2141

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Patent Application No. 2005/0108432 to Tominaga et al teaches an automatic address management method.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Djenane M. Bayard whose telephone number is (571) 272-3878. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday 5:30 AM- 3:00 PM..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on (571) 272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Djenane Bayard

Patent Examiner



RUPAL DHARIA  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER